

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/533,678	03/23/2000	Masami Hatori	Q56799	1082
7	7590 01/15/2003			
Darryl Mexic			EXAMINER	
2100 Pennsylv	Zinn MacPeak & Seas ania Avenue NW		NGUYEN, TUAN M	
WASHINGTON, DC 20037-3202			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2828	
			DATE MAILED: 01/15/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summers	09/533,678	HATORI, MASAMI			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
T. MAN NO DATE (4)	Tuan M Nguyen	2828			
Th MAILING DATE of this communic Period for Reply	cation appears on the cover sneet w	ith the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commu - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statu - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply w - Any reply received by the Office later than three months afte earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	CATION. f 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a nication. d days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thir utory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON vill, by statute, cause the application to become Al	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1) Responsive to communication(s) file	d on <u>15 October 2002</u> .				
2a) This action is FINAL .	b)⊠ This action is non-final.				
Since this application is in condition to closed in accordance with the practice Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are	e withdrawn from consideration.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		0 . 30			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		PAUL IP			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.					
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a)⊠ All_b)□ Some * c)□ None of:					
1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
	f the priority documents have been stional Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). for a list of the certified copies not	_			
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).					
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign lang	• • •				
Attachment(s)	· •				
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Page 	O-948) 5) Notice of	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/533,678

Art Unit: 2828

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-6 and 11-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Mizuuchi et al (5,506,722).

With respect to claims 1 and 12, Mizuuchi et al disclose a semiconductor laser (52) for radiating coherent light consisting of fundamental waves and an optical converting device (31) for converting the fundamental waves radiated from the semiconductor laser into second harmonic waves, a ferroelectric substrate (32), a plurality of inverted polarization layers (33), a non-inverted polarization layers (35), an optical waveguide (34), input/output end facets (34a, 34b), a direction of spontaneous polarization (Ps), note col. 6 line 25 to col. 16 line 7, see figs. 5-9 and 22.

With respect to claims 2-6, Mizuuchi et al disclose the spontaneous polarization direction of said substrate form a predetermined angle with respect to the substrate surface on a plane perpendicular to a propagation direction of the fundamental wave, and predetermined angle is larger than 0 degree and smaller than 20 degree, note col. 7.61 to col. 15 line 67, see fig. 5-9.

With respect to claim 8, Mizuuchi et al disclose a semiconductor laser (52) for radiating coherent light consisting of fundamental waves and an optical wavelength converting device (31), a ferroelctric crystal substrate (32), note col. 9 line 23 to col. 13 line 44, see figs. 5 and 22.

Application/Control Number: 09/533,678

Art Unit: 2828

With respect to claim 11, Mizuuchi et al disclose the optical wavelength conversion element (31) converts a wavelength of said fundamental wave to a wavelength of a second harmonic of said fundamental wave, note cols. 12-13, see figs. 5 and 22.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
 - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 4. Claims 7 and 9-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mizuuchi et al (5,506,722) in view of Mizuuchi et al (5,652,674).

With respect to claim 7, Mizuuchi et al disclose semiconductor laser (52), center optical wavelength (34), see fig 37. However Mizuuchi et al do not disclose the phase matching wavelength of the optical wavelength conversion element. Whereas Mizuuchi et al ('674) disclose the phase matching wavelength of the optical wavelength conversion element, note col. 25 line 42 to col. 40 line 39, see figs. 21 and 24A-B. For the advantageous of the semiconductor

Application/Control Number: 09/533,678

Art Unit: 2828

laser makes the center wavelength of stimulated emission of the laser beam coincide with a phase matching wavelength of the optical wavelength conversion element, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide Mizuuchi et al with the phase matching as taught or suggested by Mizuuchi ('674).

With respect to claims 9-10, Mizuuchi et al ('674) disclose the SIO2 thin film (34) and the thickness of the thin film is 200 nm, note col. 29, see figs. 14a-15d.

5. Claims 13-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mizuuchi et al (5,506,722) in view of Nightingale et al (6,151,342).

With respect to claims 13-15, Mizuuchi et al disclose a substrate (42), an optical wavelength conversion element (96), a semiconductor laser (72) are mounted on the flat surface, note col32 line 55 to col. 33 line 34, see fig 35. However Mizuuchi et al do not disclose the stepped surface and the difference between a distance from an upper surface of the semiconductor laser to light exit position of a laser beam and the optical waveguide. Whereas nightingale et al disclose the stepped surface and the difference between a distances from an upper surface of the semiconductor laser to light exit position of a laser beam and the optical waveguide, note col. 7 line 15 to col. 8 line 67, see figs. 1-8. For the advantageous of mounting the semiconductor laser to the stepped surface of the substrate for fixing and the distance difference from an upper surface of the semiconductor laser to the light exit position of a laser beam and the optical waveguide, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide Mizuuchi et al with the stepped surface and the difference between a distance from an upper surface of the semiconductor laser to light exit position of a laser beam and the optical waveguide e as taught or suggested by Nightingale et al.

Art Unit: 2828

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-15 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Citation Of The Pertinent References

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon us considered pertinent to applicant's disclose.

The patent to Mizuuchi et al (US patent 5,836,073) disclose method of making optical wavelength converting device.

Communication Information

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tuan M Nguyen whose telephone number is (703) 306-0247. The examiner can normally be reached on 8am to 5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Paul Ip can be reached on (703) 308-3098. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9318 for regular communications and (703) 872-9319 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 306-3329.

Paul Ip SPE

Art unit 2828

TMN

December 24, 2002